

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil decket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
Please attached sheet.				Please see attached sheet.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2	Address, and Telephone Numbe	")		Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff				(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State	F DEF	Incorporated or Pri		PTF 4	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	□ 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FC	DREEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature o			
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 700 Product Liability PRISONER PETITION 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	XTY	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appe 423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Copp 830 Pater 835 Pater New 840 Trad SOCIAL 861 HIA 862 Black 863 DIW 864 SSIL 865 RSI 870 Taxe 870 Taxe 26 U 871 IRS 26 U 871 IRS 26 U	RTY RIGHTS rrights at tt - Abbreviated Drug Application emark .SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	X 480 Consum 490 Cable/S. 850 Securitic Exchangary 190 Other Stands 190 O	aims Act (31 USC) apportionate d Bankin ce cion er Influenc Organizati er Credit at TV ess/Commo ge atutory Ac ural Acts mental Mar a of Inform con trative Pre- ciew or Ap Decision tionality of	ment ig ced and ions odities/ ctions tters nation ocedure
▼1 Original □ 2 Re	Cite the U.S. Civil State Court Cite the U.S. Civil State Fair Credit Report Brief description of careful Fair Credit Report Fair Credit Report Fair Credit Report Credit Report	Appellate Court itute under which you a ting Act, 15 U.S.C. iuse: ting Act, 15 U.S.C. IS A CLASS ACTION	re filing (1 § 1681,	pened Anothe (specify) Do not cite jurisdictional state et al.	er District	Multidistr Litigation Transfer iversity):	if demanded in	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on - ile
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER			
DATE 10/1/20		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD.	_				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	64	JUDGE _		MAG. JUE	DGE		

Case 2:20-cv-04832-TJS Document 1 Filed 10/01/20 Page 2 of 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	Please see attached sh	neet.				
Address of Defendant:	Please see attached sheet.					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	N/A					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number:N/A	Judge:	Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered	d to any of the following questions:					
Is this case related to property included in an eapreviously terminated action in this court?	arlier numbered suit pending or within one year	Yes No 🗸				
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or pending or within one year previously terminat	Yes No 🗸					
Does this case involve the validity or infringer numbered case pending or within one year preva-		Yes No 🗸				
Is this case a second or successive habeas corpu- case filed by the same individual?	us, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	Yes No 🗸				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above.	is / • is not related to any case now pending or					
DATE:10/1/20	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	202618 Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
	Attorney-at-Law / 170 Se F tainity	Anorney I.D. # (y appricable)				
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)						
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction	ı Cases:				
 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and FELA Jones Act-Personal Injury Antitrust Patent Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases Social Security Review Cases All other Federal Question Cases	2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defar 4. Marine Person 5. Motor Vehicle 6. Other Persona 7. Products Liab 8. Products Liab 9. All other Dive (Please specify)	mation nal Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): Ility Asbestos Persity Cases				
	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION					
(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) Richard Kim , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:						
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:						
Relief other than monetary damages is so	ught.					
DATE:10/1/20	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	202618 Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there		morney no. " (y approach)				

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

:

CIVIL ACTION

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address				
855-996-6342 855-235-5855 <u>rkim@thekimlawfirmllc</u>						
10/1/20 Date	Attorney-at-law	Richard Kim Attorney for Plaintiff	_			
(f) Standard Management – C	Cases that do not fall into any	y one of the other tracks. (x))			
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)						
 Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. 						
c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.						
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:				
plaintiff shall complete a Case filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ev designation, that defendant sh	e Management Track Design a copy on all defendants. (Se cent that a defendant does n all, with its first appearance ies, a Case Management Tra	Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for nation Form in all civil cases at the time of the \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse of agree with the plaintiff regarding said, submit to the clerk of court and serve of the cour	of e d n			
Trans Union, LLC, et al.	•	NO.				
V.	:	NO.				
Sean Hudson	:	CIVIL ACTION				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Party Information Sheet Attachment

Plaintiff:

Sean Hudson, 42 Merwood Dr., Upper Darby, PA 19082.

Represented by:

The Kim Law Firm, LLC Richard Kim, Esquire 1635 Market St., Suite 1600 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Ph. 855-996-6342 Fax 855-235-5855

Email: rkim@thekimlawfirmllc.com

Defendants:

Trans Union, LLC: 1510 Chester Pike, Crum Lynn, PA 19022.

Equifax Information Services LLC: The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, Inc., 830 Bear Tavern Rd., West Trenton, NJ 08628.

Green Dot Bank: 605 East Huntington Drive, Suite 205 Monrovia, CA 91016.

OnPath Federal Credit Union: 5508 Citrus Blvd., Harahan, LA 70123.

Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency doing business as FedLoan Servicing: 1200 N 7th St, Harrisburg, PA 17102.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Sean Hudson,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No.

:

Trans Union, LLC, Equifax Information Services LLC, Green Dot Bank, OnPath Federal Credit Union and Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency *doing* business as FedLoan Servicing.

v.

:

Defendants.

:

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Preliminary Statement

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer against the Defendants (named below) for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.* (the "FCRA").

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, and 28 U.S.C. §1331, 1337.
 - 3. Venue lies properly in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b).

Parties

- 4. Plaintiff Sean Hudson is an adult individual who resides in Ho-Ho-Kus, New Jersey.
- 5. Defendant Trans Union, LLC ("TU") is a business entity that regularly conducts business in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, which has a principal place of business located at 1510 Chester Pike, Crum Lynne, Pennsylvania 19022.

- 6. Defendant Equifax Information Services LLC ("EQ") is a business entity that regularly conducts business in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, with the following registered agent for service: The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, Inc., 830 Bear Tavern Rd., West Trenton, NJ 08628.
- 7. Defendant Green Dot Bank ("Green Dot") is a business entity that regularly conducts business in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania with a principle place of business located at: 605 East Huntington Drive, Suite 205 Monrovia, CA 91016
- 8. Defendant OnPath Federal Credit Union ("OnPath") is a business entity that regularly conducts business in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania with a principle place of business located at: 5508 Citrus Blvd., Harahan, LA 70123.
- 9. Defendant Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency *doing business as* FedLoan Servicing ("FedLoan") is a business entity that regularly conducts business in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania with a principle place of business located at: 1200 N 7th St, Harrisburg, PA 17102.

Facts

- 10. Defendants have repeatedly reported derogatory and inaccurate statements and information relating to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's credit history to third parties (hereafter the "inaccurate information").
 - 11. The inaccurate information involves the misreporting of three separate trade lines.
- 12. The Green Dot trade line reported through TU and EQ are not accurate because they fail to take into account Plaintiff's settlement and partial payment of the debt reported by Green Dot. Plaintiff disputed the inaccurate information with these Defendants but the Defendants continue to inaccurately report, among other things, a balance, fail to mention the settlement, and

have omitted meaningful information accounting for the payment and settlement with Plaintiff.

- 13. The OnPath trade line reported through EQ and is not accurate because it also fails to take into Plaintiff's settlement and partial payment of the debt reported by OnPath. Plaintiff disputed the inaccurate information with these Defendants but the Defendants continue to inaccurately report, among other things, a balance, fail to mention the settlement, and have omitted meaningful information accounting for the payment and settlement with Plaintiff.
- 14. The FedLoan trade line reported by Equifax is not accurate because, among other things, it claims that Plaintiff had failed to make payments on the student loan when the loan was not due. The late payment dates were during periods when the loan was deferred and payment was not obligated by Plaintiff. Plaintiff disputed the inaccurate information with these Defendants but the Defendants continue to inaccurately report this trade line.
- 15. Defendants have reported false and inaccurate credit information and consumer credit reports that they have disseminated to various persons and credit grantors, both known and unknown.
- 16. Defendants either did not engage in any investigation when they were informed of the inaccurate reporting, or (alternatively) did not engage in a reasonable investigation, as such an investigation would have revealed that the inaccurate information was false.
- 17. Defendants also failed to institute or adhere to policies and procedures that could have prevented the reporting of the inaccurate information.
- 18. Defendants knew or should have known that its actions violated the FCRA. Additionally, Defendants could have taken the steps necessary to bring their agents' actions within compliance of the statutes, but neglected to do so and failed to adequately review those actions to insure compliance with said laws.

- 19. Plaintiff's credit report and file have been obtained from TU and EQ and have been reviewed by prospective and existing credit grantors and extenders of credit, and the inaccurate information has been a substantial factor in precluding Plaintiff from receiving credit offers and opportunities, known and unknown. Plaintiff's credit reports have been obtained from TU and EQ by such third parties. Plaintiff has also suffered increased interest rates, reduced opportunities for financing, and increased insurance premiums as a substantial result of Defendants reporting of the inaccurate information.
- 20. Because of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages in the form of credit denial or loss of credit opportunity, credit score reduction, informational harm, privacy harm, credit defamation and emotional distress, including, but not limited to, anxiety, frustration, embarrassment, and humiliation.
- 21. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendants were acting by and through their agents, servants and/or employees who were acting within the course and scope of their agency or employment, and under the direct supervision and control of the Defendants herein.
- 22. At all times pertinent hereto, the conduct of the Defendants, as well as that of its agents, servants and/or employees, was intentional, willful, reckless, and in grossly negligent disregard for federal laws and the rights of Plaintiff.

<u>Count One – Violations of the FCRA</u> Plaintiff v. TU and EQ

- 23. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 24. At all times pertinent hereto, TU and EQ are each a "person" and a "consumer reporting agency" as those terms are defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b) and (f).
 - 25. At all times pertinent hereto, Plaintiff was a "consumer" as that term is defined by

15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).

- 26. At all times pertinent hereto, the above-mentioned credit reports were "consumer reports" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d).
- 27. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n and 15 U.S.C. §1681o, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for willfully and negligently failing to comply with the requirements imposed on a consumer reporting agency of information pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) and 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a).
- 28. The conduct of Defendants was a direct and proximate cause, as well as a substantial factor, in bringing about the actual damages and harm to Plaintiff that are outlined more fully above and, as a result, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for the full amount of statutory, actual and punitive damages, along with the attorneys' fees and the costs of litigation, as well as such further relief, as may be permitted by law.

<u>Count Two – Violations of the FCRA</u> Plaintiff v. Green Dot, OnPath and FedLoan

- 29. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 30. At all times pertinent hereto, Green Dot, OnPath and FedLoan were each a "person" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b).
- 31. At all times pertinent hereto, Plaintiff was a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
- 32. Green Dot, OnPath and FedLoan violated Sections 1681n and 1681o of the FCRA by engaging in the following conduct:
 - a. willfully and negligently failing to conduct a reasonable investigation of the inaccurate information that Plaintiff disputed;

- b. willfully and negligently failing to review all relevant information concerning Plaintiff's inaccurately reported trade lines;
- c. willfully and negligently failing to report the results of investigations to the relevant consumer reporting agencies;
- d. willfully and negligently failing to report the accurate status of the inaccurate information to all credit reporting agencies;
- e. willfully and negligently failing to provide all credit reporting agencies with the factual information and evidence that Plaintiff provided to Green Dot, OnPath and FedLoan;
- f. willfully and negligently continuing to furnish and disseminate inaccurate, unlawful and derogatory credit account and other information concerning Plaintiff to credit reporting agencies and other entities; and
- g. willfully and negligently failing to comply with the requirements imposed on furnishers of information pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b).
- 33. The conduct of Green Dot, OnPath and FedLoan was a direct and proximate cause, as well as a substantial factor in bringing about the serious injuries, actual damages and harm to Plaintiff that are outlined more fully above. As a result, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for the full amount of statutory, actual and punitive damages, along with the attorneys' fees and the costs of litigation, as well as such further relief, as may be permitted by law.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

34. Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks judgment in Plaintiff's favor and damages against

the Defendant, based on the following requested relief:

- a. Actual damages;
- b. Statutory damages;
- c. Punitive damages;
- d. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- e. Such other relief as may be necessary, just and proper.

THE KIM LAW FIRM, LLC

/s/ Richard H. Kim

Richard Kim, Esquire Attorney I.D. No. PA: 202618 1635 Market St., Suite 1600 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Ph. 855-996-6342/Fax 855-235-5855 rkim@thekimlawfirmllc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Sean Hudson

Dated: October 1, 2020